

REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and following remarks, reconsideration of the objections and rejections contained in the Office Action of June 8, 2004 is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the abstract. A number of minor changes have been made to the abstract to make the required correction. In addition, a number of minor editorial changes have been made to the specification as a whole in order to generally improve the present application.

The Examiner rejected claims 32, 33 and 36 as being anticipated by Bhalla et al., U.S. 6,301,402. However, the Examiner went on to indicate that the subject matter of each of claims 34, 35, 37 and 38 would be allowable if rewritten into independent form.

Accordingly, each of claims 34, 35, 37 and 38 has now been so-redrafted, by the redrafting of claims 34 and 37 into independent form. Claims 35 and 38 remain dependent from claims 34 and 37, respectively.

As such, only allowable subject matter is now claimed in the present application. All of the claims pending are, accordingly, in condition for allowance, and indication of such is respectfully requested.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is submitted that the present application is now in condition for allowance, and the Examiner is requested to pass the case to issue. If the Examiner should have any comments or suggestions to help speed the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicant's undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

Hideaki OKAYAMA

By: 

Nils E. Pedersen
Registration No. 33,145
Attorney for Applicant

NEP/krp
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
November 8, 2004